

C.R. No. 2667 of 1986

(Via video conference)

**Lakshmi Narayan Goswami
-Vs.-
Shyam Sundar Goswami & Ors.**

It appears from the office report dated July 14, 2021 that notice could not be served on the learned advocate for the petitioners due to non-availability of the said advocate at the specified address.

It appears that the parties are no longer interested in proceeding with the matter.

Accordingly, C.R. No. 2667 of 1986 is dismissed for default and the Rule issued in the matter stands discharged.

There will be no order as to costs.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)