

S/L 17  
08-01-2021  
KAUSHIK  
Ct. No. 30

**CRMSPL 63 of 2019  
(CRAN 1 of 2020)**

**In the matter of : Sri Mridul Chanda.**

Mr. Subrata Bhattacharya.  
... For the appellant/petitioner

Mr. Satadru Lahiri.  
... For the Opposite Party

Mr. Baisali Basu.  
... For the State

Affidavit-of-service filed to show that the application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act has been served on the respondents.

Pursuant to the receipt of notice, Ms. Baisali Basu, learned advocate for the State has appeared on behalf of State-respondent.

Mr. Satadru Lahiri appears and submits on behalf of the Opposite Party/respondent-accused in the matter, who undertakes to file 'vakalatnama'.

Now upon hearing the learned advocate for the parties. Considering sufficient explanation offered in the application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for delay of three days in preferring special leave, delay is condoned.

Hence, the special leave to appeal is granted to the petitioner/appellant under the provision of Section 378(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Thus, CRMSPL 63 of 2019 is disposed of.

**(Shivakant Prasad, J.)**