

D/L46.
September
23, 2021
Bpg.

C.R.R. No.3456 of 2012
(Via Video Conference)

Baidyanath Mandi
Versus
The State of West Bengal & Anr.

Ms. Baisali Basu.
...for the State.

As none appears for the petitioner or for the State, Ms. Baisali Basu, learned advocate, who ordinarily appears on behalf of the State, is directed to appear and represent on behalf of the State. Her appointment may be regularised by the concerned authorities.

The revisional application was preferred against the order dated 15th September, 2012 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, 2nd Court, Bardhaman in connection with Sessions Trial Case No12 of 2012.

The order dated 15.09.2012 reflects that the learned court by the said order was pleased to frame charges under Sections 450/376(f)/506 of the Indian Penal Code and subsequently fixed a schedule of dates for examination of charge-sheeted witnesses.

Records of the revisional application reflect that till date the same has not been admitted and no information has been furnished regarding the present stage of the proceedings before the learned court below.

Having regard to the fact that the learned Sessions Judge on consideration of the materials available in the case record,

statements under Section 161 of the Cr.P.C., under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. as also the medical documents arrived at such conclusion, I am of the view that no interference is called for at this stage.

Accordingly, CRR 3456 of 2012 is dismissed.

Pending application, if any, is consequently disposed of.

Interim order, if any, is hereby vacated.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)