

24-08-2021
sl. no.47
tkm/ct. 8

WPA 22043 of 2019
Jayanta Roy
Versus
State Bank of India & Ors.
(Through Video Conference)

Ms. Sumitra Das
... for the petitioner
Ms. Deblina Lahiri
... for the Bank

Initially, it was represented by the Bank that the appellate authority disposed of the appeal under the Right to Information Act, 2005. Today, it is submitted on behalf of the Bank that the order of the appellate authority is misplaced.

Learned advocate for the Bank submits that the appellate authority will re-hear the appeal and pass a fresh order.

Petitioner is represented.

In such circumstances, in my view, justice would be subserved by requiring the appellate authority to decide the appeal of the petitioner afresh. Needless to say, the appellate authority will afford a reasonable opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. The appellate authority is at liberty to hear such other parties and consult such documents as it deems necessary. He will pass a reasoned order and communicate the same to the petitioner within eight weeks from the date of communication of this order to him.

WPA 22043 of 2019 is disposed of without any order as costs.

Urgent certified website copies of this order, if applied for, be made available to the parties upon compliance of the requisite formalities.

(DEBANGSU BASAK, J.)