

13.
sg

15-11-2021

Ct. 8

FMAT 960 of 2015
With
CAN 1 of 2015 (Old CAN 9003 of 2015)

United Bank of India
Versus
Dipayan Chatterjee

(Through Video Conference)

The appellant is not represented even in the second call nor any accommodation is prayed for on behalf of the appellant. The appellant was also not represented on the earlier occasion.

Having considered our earlier order dated 11th November, 2021 and taking note of the nature of the order impugned, we feel that the appeal has now become infructuous by passage of time and accordingly, dismissed as time barred. In view of the dismissal of the appeal, the stay application is also dismissed as infructuous.

(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)

(Soumen Sen, J.)