

D/L 116
December
22, 2021
Bpg.

C.R.R. No.2515 of 2021
(Via Video Conference)

In Re: An application under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal
Procedure, 1973;

Amal Das
Versus
State of West Bengal

Mr. Kishore Mukherjee.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Bidyut Kr. Ray,
Ms. Manisha Sharma.
...for the State.

The petitioner is anxious regarding the fact that although he is in custody since 19th January, 2020, yet even after the charge-sheet being filed and charge being framed, no witness has been examined till date.

Mr. Bidyut Kr. Ray, learned advocate, appears on behalf of the State. His appointment may be regularised by the concerned authorities.

In view of the materials available on record which speaks that the petitioner is in custody for almost 2 years, I direct the learned trial court to take steps for expediting the progress of the trial. Accordingly, the learned trial court would fix schedule consisting of three dates once in every two months and take the trial to its logical conclusion within a reasonable period of time. No unnecessary adjournment should be granted to either of the parties

and schedule/date should be fixed only after the public prosecutor conducting the case assures the court regarding the availability of the witnesses. Needless to state that there are eleven witnesses on whom the prosecution intends to rely upon. The learned trial court should keep in mind the background of the fact that the petitioner is in custody for almost two years.

With the aforesaid observations, CRR 2515 of 2021 is disposed of.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)