

17.12.2021.
101.
as
(Allowed).

C.R.M. 8171 of 2021

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Matia P. S. Case No.15 of 2021 under Sections 468/471/420/406/120B/506 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the matter of : Keya Ganguly nee Banerjee.
... Petitioner.

Mr. Narayan Chandra Mondal,
Ms. Mousumi Chatterjee,
Mr. Chandan Chakraborty.
...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Id. A.P.P.,
Mr. Manoranjan Mahata.
.....for the State.

Heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that she is a co-owner of the property and had sold the property on the strength of a power of attorney.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits the power of attorney is a forged document.

We have considered the materials on record and keeping in mind the nature of allegations and as investigation relates to genuineness of a document which is in the control and custody of the investigating agency, we are of the opinion custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary and the petitioner may be granted anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, we direct that in the event of arrest the petitioner shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer and subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and on further condition that the petitioner shall appear before the trial court and pray for regular bail within a period of four weeks from date.

This application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Bivas Pattanayak,J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)