

07.12.2021

78

sdas
rejected

C.R.M. 7957 of 2021
(via video conferencing)

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Ranaghat G.R.P.S. Case No. 09 of 2021 dated 27.06.2021 under Sections 302/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Pijush Pramanick @ Sasti petitioner

Ms. Minoti Gomes

... for the petitioner

Mr. N. Ahmed, learned APP

Ms. Ayantika Ray

... for the State

Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he is in custody for more than 100 days. It is further submitted that he is not the principal assailant.

Learned Counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

We have considered the materials on record including the statement of the witness recorded under Sections 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Petitioner was one of the members of the unlawful assembly, one of whom had shot at the deceased.

In view of prima facie involvement of the petitioner in the alleged crime, we are of the opinion that this is not a fit case to grant bail to the petitioner.

The application for bail is, thus, rejected.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

C.R.M. 192 of 2018

In Re.: An application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 05.01.2018 in connection with Karimpur Police Station Case No. 136 of 2012 dated 03.07.2012 under Sections 420/467/468/471/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Rabish Kumar Upadhyay petitioner

Mr. Asraf Ali

... for the petitioner

Mr. Debojyoti Deb

... for the State

Having considered the materials on record prima facie disclosing involvement of the petitioner in the alleged crime of misappropriation of monies of the innocent depositors and the fact that the petitioner has absconded for a protracted period of time, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

The application for bail is, thus, rejected.

(Rajarshi Bharadwaj, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

