

07.12.2021

77

sdas
rejected

C.R.M. 7951 of 2021
(via video conferencing)

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Panskura Police Station Case No. 236 of 2021 dated 31.05.2021 under Sections 147/149/323/325/307/354B/279/302/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 51B of the N.D.M. Act.

And

In Re : Syed Serajul Islam & Anr. petitioners

Mr. Bhaskar Hutait

..... for the petitioners

Mr. Prasun Kumar Datta, learned APP

Mr. Santanu Deb Roy

..... for the State

Mr. Kallol Mondal

Mr. Krishan Roy

Ms. Amrita Chel

Mr. Souvik Das

Mr. Anamitra Banerjee

..... for the defacto complainant

Petitioners renew their prayer for bail. It is submitted by the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners that they are in custody for 190 days.

Learned Counsel appearing for the State opposes prayer for bail.

Learned Counsel appearing for the defacto complainant also opposes prayer for bail.

We have considered the statements of witnesses. From their statements, it appears the petitioners are the principal assailants and do not stand on the same footing with the co-

accused persons who have already been enlarged on bail. Their prayer for bail was rejected earlier on October, 2021 and there is hardly any change in circumstances since then. Thus, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

The application for bail is, accordingly, rejected.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

C.R.M. 192 of 2018

In Re.: An application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 05.01.2018 in connection with Karimpur Police Station Case No. 136 of 2012 dated 03.07.2012 under Sections 420/467/468/471/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Rabish Kumar Upadhyay petitioner

Mr. Asraf Ali

... for the petitioner

Mr. Debojyoti Deb

... for the State

Having considered the materials on record prima facie disclosing involvement of the petitioner in the alleged crime of misappropriation of monies of the innocent depositors and the fact that the petitioner has absconded for a protracted period of time, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

The application for bail is, thus, rejected.

(Rajarshi Bharadwaj, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

