

01.12.2021
Serial no.29

Aloke
Ct. No. 29

(Through Video Conference)

CRM 7844 of 2021

In re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 26.11.2021 in connection with Pandua Police Station Case No. 430 of 2021 dated 02.10.2021 under Sections 420/406/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Basir Sk. @ Basir Sekh & Anr.**

... ..Petitioners

Mr. Sourav Chatterjee, Advocate

Mr. Soumya Nag, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Sudip Ghosh, Advocate

Mr. Bitasok Banerjee, Advocate

... .. For the State

Mr. Kallol Mandal, Advocate

Mr. Arunava Ganguly, Advocate

Mr. Sani Hossain, Advocate

... .. for the de facto complainant

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners refers to the two first information reports. He submits that the contents of the two first information reports are contradictory to each other. The petitioners were falsely implicated.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the contents in the case diary and in particular to subsequent complaint received by the police. He opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

The de facto complainant is represented.

Considering the materials in the case diary, the gravity of the offences and the complicity of the petitioners therein, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The prayer for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

CRM 7844 of 2021 is disposed of.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)