

06.12.2021

58
sdas
rejected

C.R.M. 7820 of 2021
(via video conferencing)

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Faridpur Police Station Case No. 87 dated 09.11.2020 under Sections 302/201/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Suday Mahanta petitioner

Mr. Amajit De

... for the petitioner

Mr. Ranabir Roy Chowdhury

Mr. Mainak Gupta

... for the State

Petitioner is in custody for more than 387 days. He renews his prayer for bail.

Learned Counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

We have considered the materials on record. Statements of witnesses prima facie disclose involvement of the petitioner as a professional killer who murdered the victim. In view of the aforesaid fact and as his prayer for bail was rejected earlier and there is no substantial change in circumstance since then, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

The application for bail is, thus, rejected.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

C.R.M. 192 of 2018

In Re.: An application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 05.01.2018 in connection with Karimpur Police Station Case No. 136 of 2012 dated 03.07.2012 under Sections 420/467/468/471/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Rabish Kumar Upadhyay petitioner

Mr. Asraf Ali

... for the petitioner

Mr. Debojyoti Deb

... for the State

Having considered the materials on record prima facie disclosing involvement of the petitioner in the alleged crime of misappropriation of monies of the innocent depositors and the fact that the petitioner has absconded for a protracted period of time, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

The application for bail is, thus, rejected.

(Rajarshi Bharadwaj, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

