

06.12.2021

44
sdas
rejected

C.R.M. 7698 of 2021
(via video conferencing)

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Jhargram Police Station Case No. 52 of 2016 dated 15.04.2016 under Sections 364/302/201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Abdul Mukim @ Abdul Mokim petitioner

Mr. Dipanjan Chatterjee
Mr. Sananda Bhattacharyya
... for the petitioner

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy
... for the State

Having considered the materials on record prima facie disclosing involvement of the petitioner in the alleged murder, we are of the opinion in view of gravity of the offence, this is not a fit case to grant bail to the petitioner.

The application for bail is, thus, rejected.

Keeping in mind the protracted period of detention already suffered by the petitioner i.e. over three years, we request the trial court to conclude the trial at an early date preferably within a period of one year from the date fixed for recording of evidence without granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

C.R.M. 192 of 2018

In Re.: An application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 05.01.2018 in connection with Karimpur Police Station Case No. 136 of 2012 dated 03.07.2012 under Sections 420/467/468/471/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Rabish Kumar Upadhyay petitioner

Mr. Asraf Ali

... for the petitioner

Mr. Debojyoti Deb

... for the State

Having considered the materials on record prima facie disclosing involvement of the petitioner in the alleged crime of misappropriation of monies of the innocent depositors and the fact that the petitioner has absconded for a protracted period of time, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

The application for bail is, thus, rejected.

(Rajarshi Bharadwaj, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

