

33
(AD) 30.11.2021

Court No.29

(Rejected)

**C.R.M. 7693 of 2021
(Via Video Conference)**

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 23.11.2021 in connection with **Jangipara** P.S. Case No. **106** of **2021** dated **22/05/2021** under Sections **147/148/149/186/332/333/307/353** of the Indian Penal Code, **9** MPO Act, ³/₄ E.S. Act.

And

In the matter of: - Musaraf Mallick & Ors.

...petitioners.

Mr. Sudip Ghosh Chowdhury
Mr. Argha Das
Mr. Abhishek Bose

...for the petitioners.

Ms. Sukanya Bhattacharya
Mr. Nirupam Dhali

...for the State.

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the police complaint relates to a mob violence. He submits that the petitioners were falsely implicated. In any event, the police submitted charge sheet and, therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not required.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the injury report, the statements recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and to the statements recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Considering the materials in the case diary, the gravity of the offences and the involvement of the petitioners and the statements recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and under Section 161 thereof, we are unable to grant

anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

C.R.M. 7693 of 2021 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)