

17.12.2021.
28
as
(Rejected)

C.R.M. 6838 of 2021

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Dhubulia P. S. Case No.320 of 2021 dated 14.10.2021 under Sections 498A/307/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the matter of : Mirjan Mallick @ Mijan Mallick & Ors.
... Petitioners.

Mr. Niladri Sekhar Ghosh,
Ms. Sompurna Chatterjee.
...for the Petitioners.

Mr. Bidyut Kr. Roy,
Ms. Monisha Sharma.
.....for the State.

Heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners are the in-laws of the victim housewife and they have been falsely implicated in the instant case.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

We have considered the materials on record including the statement of the victim girl recorded in the medical papers implicating the petitioners. Petitioners had assaulted the victim and forced her to drink poison.

In view of the prima facie role of the petitioners in the alleged crime and the gravity of the offence, we are of the opinion that this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

(Bivas Pattanayak,J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)