

10.11.2021

65

Ct. No. 29

**KAUSHIK
REJECTED**

C.R.M. 6760 of 2021

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Jangipara** Police Station Case No. **195** of **2021** dated **16.08.2021** under Sections 341/325/326/379/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In Re : Farhad Ali Mallick & Anr.

..... petitioners

Mr. Amitabha Karmakar

Mr. Arup Kumar Bhowmik

....for the petitioners

Mr. S. Chowdhury

....for the de-facto complainant

Mr. Prasun Kumar Dutta

Mr. Nirupam Dhali

....for the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that, the police complaint is a result of a civil dispute. The petitioners are not the principal accused.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the injury report, the statement recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr. P. C.) of the eye witness as also the statement recorded of the victim.

State and the de-facto complainant are represented.

Considering the materials in the case diary and considering the fact that the injured named the petitioners also as the person who dealt the injuries to him, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected and the application being CRM 6760 of 2021 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Ananda Kumar Mukherjee, J.)