

15.11.2021
Serial no. 15
Dd

(Through Video Conference)

CRM 6625 of 2021

In re : An Application for **anticipatory bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Faridpur** Police Station Case No. **97** dated **09.12.2020** under Sections **498A/406/307/506/34** of the Indian Penal Code read with Section **3/ 4** of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

-And-

In the matter of : **Prasenjit Bouri &Anr.**
...Petitioners

Mr. Amarjit De, Advocate
... ... For the Petitioners

Mr. Imran Ali,
Ms. Sutapa Banerjee, Advocates
... ...For the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submit that all the other co-accused were granted anticipatory bail by the jurisdictional Court.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the contents of the case diary.

Considering the contents of the case diary and considering the gravity of the offences and the involvement of the petitioners therein, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **rejected**.

CRM 6625 of 2021 is, accordingly, **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Ananda Kumar Mukherjee, J.)