

08.11.2021
Serial no. 29
Aloke
Ct. No. 29

(Through Video Conference)

CRM 6513 of 2021

In re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 27.09.2021 in connection with Dumdum Police Station Case No. 959 of 2020 dated 19.12.2020 under Sections 399/402 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1959 and Sections 3/4 of the Explosive Substances Act, 1956.

-And-

In the matter of: Sankar Chakraborty

... Petitioner

Mr. Shibaji Kumar Das, Advocate

... ... For the Petitioner

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Id. APP

Mr. Dipankar Paramanick, Advocate

... ...For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated. Although, the police claims that explosive substance was recovered from the vehicle belonging to the petitioner and since the petitioner is a businessman with appropriate registration under the Gold and Service Tax Act and since the petitioner is a businessman and since the petitioner did not drive the vehicle himself, the Court should be pleased to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the contents of the case diary. He submits that the petitioner was the principal person involved in the crime. Some of the co-accused are absconding. The police are yet to submit charge-sheet.

Considering the nature of involvement of the petitioner as appearing from the case diary, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

CRM 6513 of 2021 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Ananda Kumar Mukherjee, J.)