

30.09.2021
Serial no. 53
Dd

(Through Video Conference)

CRM 6470 of 2021

In re : An Application for **anticipatory bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on **20th September, 2021** in connection with **Harishchandrapur** Police Station Case No. **433** of **2021** dated **10.06.2021 (corresponding to G.R. No.-1280/2021)** under Sections **498A/325/308/34** of the Indian Penal Code read with **Section 3/4** of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

-And-

In the matter of : **Abdul Rahim****Petitioner**

Mr. Kaustav Bagchi,
Mr. Arup Sarkar,
Mr. Sourav Mukherjee, Advocates
... .. For the Petitioner

Ms. Sukanya Bhattacharya,
Mr. Nirupam Dhali, Advocates
... ..For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the husband.

There are allegations against the petitioner in the complaint lodged by the wife. There is an injury report also.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner contends that being the husband should not prejudice the petitioner. There are discrepancies between the complaint and the injury report.

The injury report available in the case diary makes out a case of physical assault. The complicity of the petitioner in the physical assault cannot be discounted at this stage.

In such circumstances, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

CRM 6470 of 2021 is, accordingly, **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Ananda Kumar Mukherjee, J.)