

Court No.
32
Item 20
ssi

25.02.
2021

C.R.R. 1798 of 2019

In the matter of:- **Smt. Anita Saha (Shaw)**

Mr. Bhagbat Chaudhuri
Mr. Somesh Kr. Ghosh
...for the petitioner
Mr. Mirza Firoj Ahmed Begg
..for the o.p.nos. 2 to 6
Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Ld. APP
Ms. Debjani Sahu
...for the State

This is an application seeking an expeditious disposal of a proceeding in a case where a charge-sheet was submitted under Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record. Despite service, no one appears on behalf of the State, although the private opposite parties are represented.

A Vakalatnama filed on behalf of the private opposite parties is taken on record.

Let a copy of this application be served upon Mr. Madhusudan Sur and Ms. Debjani Sahu, learned advocates, who are present in court today and who ordinarily appear on behalf of the State. Their engagement may be regularised in due course by the competent authority of the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is the defacto-complainant in this case. Although the First Information Report was registered as far back in 2013 and a charge-sheet was submitted in October, 2013, till date the proceeding could not be concluded. On several dates, the accused had taken adjournments and on one occasion, the accused jumped bail and a warrant of arrest had to be issued. Subsequently, they appeared and obtained bail. The proceeding has remained pending for no fault of the present petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that it would be in the interest of justice if a direction is passed to expedite the proceeding.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the private opposite parties submits that they would regularly attend the trial.

I have heard the submissions of the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties and have perused the revision petition.

It appears that some delay has been occasioned in conclusion of the proceeding, especially considering the fact that the proceeding was initiated as far back in 2013.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, I request the learned trial Court to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible without granting any

unnecessary adjournment to any of the parties, preferably within a period of one year from the next date of hearing.

With these observations, the revisional application is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)