

29.09.2021
Serial no.14
Aloke
Ct. No.29

(Through Video Conference)

CRM 6280 of 2021

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 16.09.2021 in connection with Kolaghat Police Station Case No. 111 dated 02.04.2017 under Section 394 of the Indian Penal Code and charge-sheet submitted under Sections 394/397/411 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

**In the matter of : Prasenjit Jana @ Buddha @ Jamai
... ..Petitioner**

Mr. Mrityunjay Chatterjee, Advocate

Mr. Ranjit Singh, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Sudip Ghosh, Advocate

Mr. Bitasok Banerjee, Advocate

... ..For the State

Petitioner renews the prayer for bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that another co-accused was granted bail. Such co-accused was also there in the T.I. Parade.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that the petitioner was identified in the T.I. Parade. The co-accused who was granted bail was not identified in the T.I. Parade. In this regard he refers to the order dated July 4, 2017 passed by the Coordinate Bench granting bail to the co-accused.

Considering the materials available in Court and considering the T.I. Parade report and the order dated July 4, 2017 and considering that there is hardly any material change in circumstances from the order dated July 14, 2017, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

CRM 6280 of 2021 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Ananda Kumar Mukherjee, J.)