

16.09.2021
Item no.72
Aloke
Ct. No.29

Through Video Conference

C.R.M. 6079 of 2021

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 07.09.2021 in connection with Ketugram P.S. Case No. 343 of 2020 dated 26.09.2020 under Sections 406/418/420/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of : Manik Mondal

... Petitioner

Md. Imtiaz Ahmed, Advocate
Md. Amar Zaki, Advocate
Ms. Smita Saha, Advocate
Ms. Supriya Majumder, Advocate
Mr. Sk. Saidullah, Advocate
Ms. Arunima Mukhopadhyay, Advocate
Mr. Debopam Roy, Advocate

... for the Petitioner

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, Advocate

... for the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the transactions in questions are entirely civil in nature.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that the petitioner claiming to represent a Non-Governmental Organization entered into contracts with several persons in the locality for cultivation with the object of cheating. The petitioner did not pay the cultivators any money. He draws the attention of the Court to the statements recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the statements recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

C.R.M. 6079 of 2021 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Ananda Kumar Mukherjee, J.)