

25-11-2021

Item no.114

Subrata

rejected

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction

CRM No.6049 of 2021

Sayed Anoar & Ors.

-vs-

The State of West Bengal

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under **Section 438 CrPC** in connection with Baishnabnagar P.S. Case No.283 of 2020 dated June 8, 2020 under sections 447/325/326/307/302/34 IPC.

Mr. Parvez Anam

...for the petitioners

Mr. Neguive Ahmed

Ms. Amita Gaur

... for the State

The three petitioners renew the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate for the petitioners submits that subsequent circumstance is the filing of the charge-sheet.

Learned advocate for the State submits that there is hardly any change in circumstance as and when the earlier application for bail was rejected at that point of time the charge sheet was on record being filed prior to the order of rejection. In any event, the petitioners are named in the statement under section 164 CrPC.

Considering the materials in the case diary and the gravity of the offences and complicity of the petitioners therein and the statement under section 164 CrPC, we are unable to grant the petitioners anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail being CRM No.6049 of 2021 is thus rejected.

[Debangsu Basak, J]

[Bibhas Ranjan De, J]

