

17.09.2021
47
Ct. No. 29
sdas
rejected

C.R.M. 6069 of 2021
(via video conference)

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Tarakeswar Police Station Case No. 161 of 2021 dated 06.07.2021 under Sections 420/406/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Hare Krishna Adak petitioner

Mr. Ansuman Bera

.... for the petitioner

Mr. Saibal Bapuli, learned A.P.P.

Mr. Bibaswan Bhattacharya

... for the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not the principal accused in the cheating case. The petitioner was an employee of the principal accused.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws attention of the Court to the statement of the defacto complainant as also the statements of other victims from where it transpires that the petitioner acted as an agent of the principal accused in the cheating.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the materials available in the Case Diary, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected and the application being CRM 6069 of 2021 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Ananda Kumar Mukherjee, J.)