

16.09.2021
tkm/ct 29
sl no. 62

C.R.M. 6020 of 2021

In Re : An application for anticipatory bail under section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with North Port P.S Case no. 25 of 2021 dated 8.6.2021 under sections 408/420 of the IPC

And

In Re : Pankaj Singh @ Prakash Rai petitioner

Mr. Robiul Islam
Mr. Amarul Islam
Mr. Sourav Mukherjee

..... for the petitioner

Mr. R D Nandi

..... for the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was working with the de facto complainant. Subsequent to the petitioner demanding his legitimate salary, the de facto complainant filed the first complaint against the petitioner.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the investigations are in progress. The petitioner could not be located at his residence in Bihar. He draws attention of this Court to the statements recorded under section 161 Cr.P.C.

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a resident of Rishra and not of Bihar.

Considering the materials in the case diary and considering the statement recorded under section 161 Cr.P.C, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Ananda Kumar Mukherjee, J.)

(Debangsu Basak, J.)