

25.11.2021

Serial no. 92

Dd

(Through Video Conference)

CRM 5869 of 2021

In re : An Application for **anticipatory bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Cyber Crime** Police Station Case No. **01** of **2021** dated **09.01.2021** under Sections **67A, 66E** of the Information Technology Act and under **Sections 354C, 500, 509, 506** of the Indian Penal Code and subsequently added **section 376** of the Indian Penal Code(Corresponding to **G.R. No. 193 of 2021**)

-And-

In the matter of : **Sokhina Parvin @ Sakhina Parvin**
... ..**Petitioner**

Mr. Sagar Saha, Advocate
... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Sujan Chatterjee, Advocate
... ..For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated. The husband of the petitioner was enlarged on bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the State refers to the statement recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Considering the materials in the case diary and considering the gravity of the offences and considering the involvement of the petitioner therein, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM 5869 of 2021 is, accordingly, **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)