

**26.11.2021**

**Serial no. 78**

Dd

**(Through Video Conference)**

**CRM 5783 of 2021**

In re : An Application for **anticipatory bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Bolpur** Police Station Case No. **310** of **2020** dated **25.11.2020** under Sections **341/324/325/326/307/379/506/34** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, read with under section **25(I-A)** of the Arms Act corresponding to G.R. Case No. **920 of 2020**.

**-And-**

In the matter of : **Lakshmiram Mardi & Ors.**

**... ..Petitioners**

Mr. Tritha Pati Acharya,

Mr. A. Rakib, Advocates

**... .. For the Petitioners**

Mr. Swapan Banerjee,

Ms. Purnima Ghosh, Advocates

**... ...For the State**

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the injury report and the statements recorded under Sections 161 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Considering the materials in the case diary and the statements recorded under Section 161 of the Criminal Procedure Code and the injury report as well as the complicity of the petitioners in the incident, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **rejected**.

CRM 5783 of 2021 is, accordingly, **dismissed**.

**(Debangsu Basak, J.)**

**(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)**