

171 01.10.2021
rkd Ct.05

W.P.A. 13913 of 2021
(Through Video Conference)

Mihir Kumar Ghosh

-vs-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sandip Ghosh

....for the petitioner.

Mr. Manas Kumar Kundu

....for the State.

Affidavit-of-service filed in Court is kept with the record.

Writ petitioner is a retired teacher who retired on 31st January, 2012. After retirement of the writ petitioner pension payment order was issued on 26th May, 2013 and pursuant to such pension payment order retiral dues including gratuity were disbursed in favour of the writ petitioner on 5th July, 2013. The writ petitioner claims interest on delayed payment of gratuity.

The entitlement of the writ petitioner to interest on delayed payment of gratuity due to superannuation has already been decided in the writ petition being W.P.No.4600(W) of 2019 (***Rabindra Kumar Bhattacharjee vs State of West Bengal and Ors.***) wherein upon placing reliance on judgment of the Apex Court in the case of ***Union of India vs. Tarsem Singh, reported in (2008) 8 SCC 648*** on

condoning delay in approaching the Court for payment of interest on gratuity the said writ petition was allowed by extending the benefit on delayed payment of gratuity upon due consideration of the fact that there is no accrual of third party interest and matter relates to payment of retiral dues.

Taking note of the observations made by the coordinate Bench in the order dated 17th April, 2019 passed in W.P. No. 4600(W) of 2019 (***Rabindra Kumar Bhattacharjee vs. State of West Bengal and Ors.***) this Court finds it apposite to grant interest on gratuity to the writ petitioner due to delay in releasing the said amount.

Accordingly, the Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance and the concerned Treasury Officer are directed to pay interest at the rate of **Rs.8%** per annum to the writ petitioner on delayed payment of gratuity from the date following the date of retirement of the writ petitioner till the date of actual disbursement of the gratuity amount, within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

Since no affidavit is called for, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

With the above observation, the writ petition

stands disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon usual undertakings.

(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)