

14.12.2021
cm/ct 28
sl no. 98

C.R.M. 5844 of 2021

In Re : An application for Anticipatory bail under section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Cyber Crime, Malda P.S Case No. 27 of 2021 dated 27.07.2021 under Section 354C/292/500/509/120B of the Indian Penal Code.
And

Rejected

In Re : Sekh Samiul@ Samirul

..... petitioner

Mr. Arup Kumar Bhowmick

..... for the petitioner

Mr. P.K. Datta, APP

Mr. Santanu Deb Roy

..... for the State

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in the instant case.

Learned lawyer for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

We have considered the materials on record. Materials on record show that the petitioner had taken advantage of his close relationship with the de-facto complainant and shared her objectionable pictures and videos with others. In view of the nature of the offence causing serious stigma and trauma to the victim and the prima facie materials implicating the petitioner, we are of the opinion, custodial interrogation is necessary and this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application being CRM 5844 of 2021 is rejected.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)