

25.11.2021

Serial no. 79

Dd

(Through Video Conference)

CRM 5573 of 2021

In re : An Application for **anticipatory bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Bongaon** Police Station Case No. **65** of **2021** dated **03.02.2021** under Sections **302/34** of the Indian Penal Code (**G.R. No. 300 of 2021**)

-And-

In the matter of : **Ashok Halder & Anr.**

... ...Petitioners

Mr. Uday Sankar Chattopadhyay,
Mr. Santanu Maji,
Mr. Pronay Basak, Advocates
... ... For the Petitioners

Mr. Swapan Banejree,
Ms. Purnima Ghosh, Advocates
... ...For the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the principal accused was granted bail by the jurisdictional Court. The police submitted charge sheet and, therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not required.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that the names of the petitioners transpire from the statements recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein and considering the statements recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **rejected**.

CRM 5573 of 2021 is, accordingly, **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)