

14.12.2021

79
sdas
rejected

C.R.M. 5635 of 2021

(via video conferencing)

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Patashpur Police Station Case No. 76 of 2021 dated 22.02.2021 under Sections 498A/302/304B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Gour Sau

..... petitioner

Mr. Kallol Basu
Mr. Anindya Sundar Das
Mr. Avipsa Sarkar
Mr. Indratanu Das Mahapatra
Ms. Sangita Maity

... for the petitioner

Mr. S. G. Mukherjee, learned P.P.
Ms. Faria Hossain
Ms. Sonali Das

... for the State

Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits petitioner who is the father-in-law of the victim housewife has been falsely implicated in the instant case. He resides in separate mess from the couple.

Learned Counsel appearing for the State opposes prayer for anticipatory bail.

Having considered statements of witnesses particularly the neighbours prima facie disclosing involvement of the petitioner in the torture of the housewife who committed suicide within three years of marriage, we are of the opinion in view of gravity of the offence and prima facie involvement of the petitioner therein, this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)