

CRM 5419 of 2021
(via video conference)

Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed in connection with Chanchal Police Station Case No. 1 of 2016 dated 02.01.2016 under Sections 457/323/325/307/363/366/34 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 3 & 4 of the Explosive Substances Act read with Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act.

In the matter of : **Antara Khatun & Anr.**

..... petitioners

Mr. Soupal Chatterjee

....For the petitioners

Mr. P. K. Datta, Id. APP

Mr. Santanu Deb Roy

.....For the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that though the petitioners were not named in the F.I.R., they have been falsely implicated in the charge sheet.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court and submits the statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Considering the gravity of the offences, the involvement of the petitioners as appearing in the statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in the incident, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail being C.R.M. 5419 of 2021 is, thus, rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)