

CRM 5407 of 2021
(via video conference)

Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed in connection with Taldangra Police Station Case No. 47 of 2020 dated 03.08.2020 under Sections 305 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the matter of : **Smt. Jharna Rakshit**

..... petitioner

Mr. Mrinal Kanti Mukherjee

....For the petitioner

Mr. P. K. Datta, Id. APP

Mr. Santanu Deb Roy

.....For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner did not play any role in the instant case and the police submitted the charge sheet and, therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that initially, a police case was started against the petitioner and her husband, *inter alia*, under the POCSO Act. Immediately upon the husband of the petitioner and the petitioner were enlarged on bail, they threatened the victim who committed suicide.

Considering the materials in the case diary and the gravity of the offences and the complicity of the petitioner therein, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail being C.R.M. 5407 of 2021 is, thus, rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)