

04.10.2021
Item no. 10
Court No.32.
S.De
(Rejected)

(Via Video Conference)

CRM No. 5111 of 2021

In Re: An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 03.08.2021 in connection with Cyber Police Station Case No. 30 dated 26.02.2021 under Sections 65, 66, 66D read with 43 of the Information Technology Act, 2000 and Sections 120B, 420, 465, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of : Moutusi Saha.

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Ram Dulal Manna, Advocate,
Ms. Saswati Ghosh Sinha, Advocate,
Mr. Sayan Mukherjee, Advocate,
.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Bivas Chatterjee, Advocate,
Mr. Anushree Mondal, Advocate,
.....for the State.

The petitioner says that her husband is the prime accused. Her husband was a commission agent of the complainant. Erroneously, more money than what was due to the husband was transferred to the account of the husband. The petitioner has no role to play in the alleged offence.

We have seen the material in the case diary. There are prima facie enough incriminating material against the petitioner. The petitioner's husband was in custody for 48 days and only thereafter he was granted bail.

In view of the nature of the offence and the possible extent of complicity of the petitioner therein, we are not inclined to allow the petitioner's prayer for anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, CRM 5111 of 2021 is dismissed.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)

(Arijit Banerjee, J.)