

24.11.2021
Serial no.660

Aloke
Ct. No. 29

(Through Video Conference)

CRM 5178 of 2021

In re : An Application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 02.08.2021 in connection with Junput Coastal Police Station Case No. 48 of 2019 dated 22.08.2019 under Sections 326/307/302/201 of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Sri Anup Bar @ Sitka**

... ..Petitioner

Mr. S.P. Pahari, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Id. APP

Mr. Dipankar Paramanick, Advocate

... ...For the State

Petitioner renews the prayer for bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated. He draws the attention of the Court to the statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and submits that there are dichotomies amongst with the statements recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that there is hardly any change in circumstances subsequent to the earlier order of rejection.

Considering the materials in the case diary, the statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and considering the fact that there is hardly any material change in circumstances subsequent to the earlier order of rejection, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

The prayer for bail is, thus, rejected.

CRM 5178 of 2021 is, thus, dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)