

19.08.2021.
Item No. 123.
Court No.13
ap

**W.P.A. No. 12196 of 2021
(Through Video Conference)**

**Maidun Nessa
Versus
CESC Limited & Ors.**

Mr. Bidyut Kumar Halder,
Mr. Indranil Halder.

..For the petitioner.

Mr. Debanjan Mukherjee.

...For the CESC.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that the CESC refused independent electricity connection to her. The reason for refusal is that the writ petitioner may be engaged in load splitting in view of an existing meter, that was catering to the writ petitioner's premises, in the name of the petitioner's husband.

The writ petitioner is aggrieved by the refusal that she is a tenant under her husband in respect of premises, which had no independent electricity supply.

Given the fact that CESC has in no uncertain terms found it to be a case of load splitting in terms of the Regulation 14 of the West Bengal Electric Regulatory Commission (Recovery of Expenditure for Providing New Connections) Regulations, 2013, the petitioner may approach the electricity Ombudsman.

In the event, the petitioner makes such application within a period of seven days from date before the Ombudsman, the same may be disposed of

within a period of one month thereafter after following the due procedure prescribed under law.

With the aforesaid directions, the instant writ petition shall stand disposed of.

There will be no order as to costs.

All parties are directed to act on a server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Rajasekhar Mantha, J.)