

09.12.2021

42

sdas
rejected

C.R.M. 5284 of 2021
(via video conferencing)

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Nakashipara Police Station Case No. 594 of 2020 dated 18.11.2020 under Sections 447/325/307/302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Ananda Pal & Ors. petitioners

Mr. Sourav Chatterjee

Ms. Amita Gour

Ms. Atulya Sinha

... for the petitioners

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy

... for the State

Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that they are in custody for 386 days. It is further submitted that they renew their prayer for bail.

Learned Counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Having considered the materials on record disclosing prima facie involvement of the petitioners in assaulting the victim resulting in his death and as prayer for bail of the petitioners was rejected earlier, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners on merit.

The application for bail is, thus, rejected.

Court below is directed to commit the case at the earliest and in the event the commitment is made, trial court shall take all necessary steps to take the proceeding to its logical

conclusion without granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

C.R.M. 192 of 2018

In Re.: An application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 05.01.2018 in connection with Karimpur Police Station Case No. 136 of 2012 dated 03.07.2012 under Sections 420/467/468/471/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Rabish Kumar Upadhyay petitioner

Mr. Asraf Ali

... for the petitioner

Mr. Debojyoti Deb

... for the State

Having considered the materials on record prima facie disclosing involvement of the petitioner in the alleged crime of misappropriation of monies of the innocent depositors and the fact that the petitioner has absconded for a protracted period of time, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

The application for bail is, thus, rejected.

(Rajarshi Bharadwaj, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

