

27.09.2021

9

ns Ct.04

S.A.T. 1625 of 2005

Banamali Chakravorty.

Vs.

Abdul Hannan.

None appears on behalf of appellant. Same situation prevailed on 20th September, 2021, when following order was made:-

“None appears on behalf of appellant.

The appeal is in time and form but Additional Stamp Reporter has pointed out some omissions in the memo. Rectification can be after the appeal has been moved for admission but, as aforesaid, none appears.

List under heading ‘For Dismissal’ on 27th September, 2021.”

SAT 1625 of 2005 is dismissed.

(Arindam Sinha, J.)

(Sugato Majumdar, J.)