

14.09.2021
17
suman
Ct.09

WPA 9577 of 2003

Sibananda Bhattacharya & Ors.
Vs.
Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Ranjay De
Mr. Basabjit Banerjee
...for the respondent Nos. 2, 3 and 4

None appears on behalf of the petitioners.

Mr. Ranjay De along with Mr. Basabjit Banerjee, learned advocates are representing the respondents.

The writ petitioners are/were employees of United India Insurance Company Limited. Indisputably, the petitioners are diploma holders in computer application. Previously in case of promotion both the diploma holders and the employees having M.C.A. degree were awarded weightage of two marks. Subsequently, a policy decision was adopted by the respondents that the employees having M.C.A. degree would get weightage of ten marks while the diploma holders would get the weightage of two marks. According to the

petitioners the aforesaid policy was arbitrary as the same policy creates unjust difference and inequality amongst the employees. The instant matter came up for hearing on 11th August, 2021 when this Court passed an order requesting the learned advocate for the respondents to submit a list as to whether the petitioners are still in service or retired on superannuation and secondly if they were duly promoted.

Today, the learned advocate for the respondents has submitted a list stating the present status of the writ petitioners. Out of 50 writ petitioners, 24 writ petitioners are still working. One writ petitioner has expired and others have retired on superannuation. The respondents, however, failed to submit any information in respect of writ petitioner Nos. 5, 9, 18 and 38. All the writ petitioners who were working as either assistant, senior assistant or stenographers were duly promoted to their next promotional post.

In view of such changed circumstances, the instant writ petition becomes infructuous and accordingly, the same is dismissed.

The list of writ petitioners with their present posts in service as well as the date of superannuation, death etc. supplied by the learned advocate for the respondents be kept with the record.

(Bibek Chaudhuri, J.)