

26.08.2021
Item no.39

Aloke
Ct. no.8

Through Video Conference

W.P.A. 9304 of 2005

Abdul Rahim
Versus
CESC Limited & Anr.

Mr. Madhusudan Saharay, Advocate
... for the CESC

None appears for the petitioner.

Learned Advocate appearing for the CESC submits that
the disconnection was due to theft.

The petitioner deposited 50% of the amount claimed.

Since the petitioner is not represented, W.P.A. 9304 of
2005 is dismissed for default.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)