

21.5.2021

CRM 3731 of 2021

Court No.28
Item No. 65

(Through Video Conference)

Tkm/ s.bhar

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

And

In the matter of : Sandip Pal

...Petitioners

Mr. Arindam Roy

...For the Petitioner.

Mr. Rana Mukherjee

Mr. Palash Ch. Majhi

...for the State

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that there is a love affair between the parties and he has been falsely implicated in the instant case. Learned lawyer opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that victim was abducted and later on used as a sex worker.

Learned counsel has relied upon the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C.

Having considered the materials on record, the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 Cr. P.C. and other circumstances of this case and also regard being had to the heinousness of the offence as prima facie revealed from the case diary, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly the anticipatory bail is thus, rejected.

(Suvra Ghosh, J)

(Soumen Sen, J)

