

WPA 10455 of 2021

Anup Kala
-vs-
Central Bank of India & Ors.

Mr. Gautam chakraborty
Mr. Surath Chakraborty
... for the Central Bank of India

None appears for the petitioner.

The court is informed that no one submitted any particulars by sending video link.

Bank is represented.

It appears from the records available to the Court that the petitioner assails an order dated 22nd June 2017 passed by the Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal in Appeal no. 101 of 2017. By the said impugned order, the Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal set aside the order passed by the Debts Recovery Tribunal dated 19th July 2016. The Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal found that the Debts Recovery Tribunal cannot compromise the matter without the consent of the Bank. Subsequently, the Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal relegated the matter to the Debts Recovery Tribunal for fresh adjudication.

The order impugned establishes that the petitioner was represented when the order was passed. It cannot be said that the impugned order is without jurisdiction. There is no breach of natural justice.

In such circumstances, no interference is called for in respect of the impugned order. Moreover, the impugned order is of 2017, when the present writ petition was filed in 2021.

The writ petition being WPA 10455 of 2021 is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)