

01.10.2021
Item no.59.
Court No.32.
AB
(Rejected)

(Via Video Conference)

CRM No. 3575 of 2021

In Re: An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Chanditala Police Station Case No.556 of 2019 Dated 21.12.2019 under Sections 341/326/307/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code

And

In the matter of : Sk. Faruk & Anr.

.....Petitioners.

Mr. Rabi Shankar Chattopadhyay,
Mr. Sayan Chattopadhyay,
Ms. Payel Shomefor the Petitioners.

Mr. Tanmay Kr. Ghosh,
Ms. Sima Biswasfor the State.

The petitioners are two out of several accused persons. They say that they had no active role to play in the incident. The incident is a fallout of dispute between the two families. Charge sheet has been submitted. Their custodial interrogation is not necessary.

We have seen the statements of witnesses including eyewitnesses in the case diary. Prima facie, the statements implicate these petitioners. We have seen the injury report, which reveals serious injury having been suffered by the victim.

On an overall assessment of the facts and circumstances of the case and the prima facie role of the

petitioners in the alleged incident, we are not inclined to allow this application for anticipatory bail.

C.R.M. No.3575 of 2021 is, accordingly, dismissed.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)

(Arijit Banerjee, J.)