

09.02.2021

Item No.120

Ct.No.28

subha

rejected

**C.R.M. 6136 of 2020
(Via Video Conference)**

In Re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 438
of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

And

In the matter of : Ripon Sk. ... Petitioner.

Mr. Prosenjit Mukherjee
Mr. Saptarshi Chakraborty
Mr. Arghya Kamal Das

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Sukanya Bhattacharyya
Mr. Md. Kutubuddin

.....For the State.

Apprehending arrest in connection with Paikar
Police Station Case No. 171 of 2020 dated 05-08-2020 under
Sections 354(C)/354(D)/509/120B of the Indian Penal Code
read with Section 66A/66E of the Information Technology
Act, 2000(G.R. Case No.752 of 2020), the present application
has been filed.

Mr. Mukherjee, learned advocate appearing for
the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already
deposited his mobile set with the investigating agency and
the numbers which belong to him do not tally with the

number which has been narrated in the application under Section 156(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Ms. Bhattacharya, learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the petitioner's prayer and draws the attention of this Court to the statements of four witnesses and tries to emphasise why the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required.

We have perused the case diary and the statement of witnesses and we are of the opinion that this is not a fit case for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

The application being CRM 6136 of 2020 is, thus, disposed of.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)

(Tapabrata Chakraborty, J.)