

04.10.2021
Serial no. 68
Dd

(Through Video Conference)

CRM 3565 of 2021

In re : An Application for **anticipatory bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Karandighi** Police Station Case No. **184** of **2018** dated **07.08.2018** under Sections **3/4/5/6(3)/7** of the Immoral Traffic Act.

-And-

In the matter of : **Yakub Ali alias Iyakub Ali**
...**Petitioner**

Mr. Angshuman Chakraborty,
Mr. Shashanka Shekhar Saha, Advocates
... ... For the Petitioner

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy Advocate
... ...For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated.

On a query from court whether there is any interest of the petitioner in the building concerned or not, the answer from learned advocate for the petitioner is in the negative.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the court to the statements recorded under Section 161 of the Cr.P.C, the seizure list and the copy of the lease deed existing in respect of property concerned.

Considering the materials in the case diary and considering the fact that there is a lease which exists in favour of the petitioner in respect of the property concerned, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

CRM 3565 of 2021 is, accordingly, **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Ananda Kumar Mukherjee, J.)