

07.04.2021
Ct. 7
D/L 43
ab

C.O. 1489 of 2018
(Via Video Conference)

Bijoy Krishna Samanta
-Vs-
Lalit Kumar Pandey & Anr.

None appears for the petitioner even at the time of second call. No accommodation is also sought for on behalf of the petitioner.

It appears from the record that the petitioner was also not represented on January 4, 2021. It further appears from the record that the Registry has communicated the order dated January 4, 2021 to the learned advocate for the petitioner.

Since the petitioner is not represented, C.O. 1489 of 2018 stands dismissed for default.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)