

07.10.2021
27
Ct. No 29
KAUSHIK
(REJECTED)

C.R.M 3494 of 2021
(via video conference)

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Malda** Police Station Case No. **480** of **2018** dated **09.11.2018** under Sections 363/365 with added Sections 364A/109/120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In Re : Rumel Ali @ Md. Rumel Haque.

..... petitioner

Mr. Tapan Dutta Gupta

.... for the petitioner

Mr. Sudip Kumar Ghosh

Ms. Bitasok Banerjee

... for the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner was falsely implicated on the basis of a statement made by a co-accused, who is in custody.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that, keeping in mind the serious nature of allegation, anticipatory bail should not be granted. He points out that, the charge-sheet was submitted on May 31, 2019 and since thereafter, the petitioner is absconding.

Considering the materials in the case diary and considering the complicity of the petitioner as alleged, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected and the application being CRM 3494 of 2021 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Ananda Kumar Mukherjee, J.)