

04.10.2021
tkm/ct 29
sl no. 51

C.R.M. 3431 of 2021

In Re : An application for anticipatory bail under section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Haringhata P.S Case no. 48 of 2020 dated 26.2.2020 under sections 498A/304B/34 of the IPC

And

In Re : Kaukalla @ Kausallya Bebnath & Ors. petitioners

Md. U Ali

..... for the petitioners

Ms. Z N Khan

Ms. Sreeparna Das

..... for the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate for the petitioners submits although on two previous occasions prayer for bail was rejected, there is material change in circumstance. The family of the petitioners entered into a compromise with the family of the de facto complainant.

Learned advocate for the State draws the attention of this Court to the contents of the case diary. She submits that so-called compromise is not valid one.

Considering the materials in the case diary and considering the fact that on two previous occasions, prayer for bail was rejected and that there is hardly any change in circumstance subsequent thereto, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Ananda Kumar Mukherjee, J.)

(Debangsu Basak, J.)