

Court No. 24

16.08.2021

(Item No. 44)

(AB)

W.P.A 7192 of 2019

FARHINA YEASMIN

VS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

Mr. Sudipto Panda

Ms. Munmun Tewary

..... for the State

Mr. Puspendu Chakrobarty

..... for IOCL

None appears on behalf of the petitioner on repeated occasions. No accommodation has been sought for.

An opportunity was given to the petitioner to file affidavit-in-reply to the opposition filed by the respondent Nos. 2 to 6. That has also not been filed.

The learned advocate for the Company submits that the distributorship granted in favour of the private respondents has already started functioning.

As there is no representation from the petitioner at present the writ petition stands dismissed for default.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)