

CRR 566 of 2014
(Via video conference)

Gitika Goswami & Anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Anr.

Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharya
Mr. Priyankar Ganguly...for the petitioners

Mr. Ayan Bhattacharjee
Mr. Rishan Dutta
Mr. Soumalya Ganguly
Md. Zohaib Rauffor the opposite party no. 2

Mr. Arijit Ganguly
Mr. Avik Ghatak ...for the State

Mr. Bhattacharjee, learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submit that there were three contentions. Firstly, there was no demand notice. Secondly, the issue relating to Section 202 of the Code of Criminal Procedure has not been complied with and thirdly, the issue relating to winding up of the company and publication of notice.

Learned advocate candidly submits that if they are granted the liberty to agitate the aforesaid points canvassed in this revisional application before the learned trial court at the appropriate stage, the same would suffice the parties.

Mr. Ayan Bhattacharjee, learned advocate appears for the opposite party.

Mr. Arijit Ganguly, learned advocate appears for the State.

Having regard to the contention advanced by the learned advocate for the petitioners, I am of the considered opinion that the petitioners would be at liberty to agitate the aforesaid three points before the learned trial court at the appropriate stage.

Accordingly, CRR 566 of 2014 is disposed of.

Pending application, if any, is consequently disposed of.

Interim order, if any, is hereby vacated.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this court.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)