

20.09.2021
Item no. 40
Court No.32.
S.De.
(Rejected)

(Via Video Conference)

CRM 2790 of 2021

In Re: An application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 17.03.2020 in connection with Krishnagar Kotwali Police Station Case No. 191 of 2020 dated 16.03.2020 under Sections 379/325/308 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of : Suroj Sk. & Anr.

.....Petitioners.

Mr. Snehangshu Mazumder, Advocate,
.....for the Petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Imam, Advocate,
Mr. Pratick Bose, Advocate,
.....for the State.

Learned advocate for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no.1 has already been taken into custody.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is dismissed as not pressed as far as the petitioner no.1 namely **Suroj Sk.** is concerned.

Learned advocate says that the petitioner no.2 has no direct complicity in the alleged incident. Investigation is over

and charge-sheet has been submitted. Custodial interrogation of the petitioner no.2 may not be necessary.

We have seen the statements of witnesses recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. We have seen the Injury Report. The victim suffered multiple injuries on his face. Sharp cutting weapon was used. The petitioner's name appears in several statements of witnesses.

Considering the nature and gravity of the charge, although charge-sheet has been submitted, we are not inclined to allow the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner no.2 namely **Sadhan Biswas**.

Accordingly, CRM 2790 of 2021 is dismissed.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)

(Arijit Banerjee, J.)